

Interview Summary

Application No.

09704790

Applicant(s)

Stewart et al

Examiner

GAIL HAYES

Art Unit

2131

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

1/2

(1) GAIL HAYES(3) DAVID EDMONDSON(2) PAULA KLIMACH(4) CHUCK SHOTTONDate of Interview Jan 30, 2003Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Chen (-5,832,208) and Templeton (6,401,210)Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant asserts that there is no motivation to modify Chen by including the encryption feature of Templeton as the result would not provide a clean and safe version of the email. Applicant asserts that Chen actually teaches away from use of Templeton. Applicant also argues that the Chen-Templeton combination fails to disclose transmission of a non-executable form to the user. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and first notes that the Chen-Templeton combination provides for cleaning the infecting email and transmitting it on to the destination. Thus, the objective of Chen is not compromised. Further, the combination discloses for transmission of the encrypted non-executable file to the end user. The claims in their current form do not require transmission in a usable form.

In addition, two points were addressed: (1) Use of means to convert the macro to an intermediate format dependent on its

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

Application No.

09704790

Applicant(s)

Stewart et al

Examiner

Klimach

Art Unit

2131

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

212

(1) _____

(3) _____

(2) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview _____

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

type and (2) the ability of the non-executable data sent to the end user. Applicant will determine whether the amendments are necessary. The examiner will need to see the amendments presented in a formal response before considering.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Handwritten Signature
Examiner's signature, if required